

REMARKS

Claims 39-58 remain in the present application. Claims 39, 42, 46, 52 and 55 are amended herein. Applicant respectfully submits that no new matter has been added as a result of the claim amendments. Applicant respectfully requests further examination and reconsideration of the rejections based on the arguments set forth below.

Examiner Interview Summary

A telephonic Examiner Interview was conducted on February 18, 2010 between Examiner Cory Almeida and Applicant's representative Bryan M. Failing. It was agreed during the Examiner Interview on February 18, 2010 that the cited references of record fail to teach or suggest the combination of elements including "wherein said first display screen comprises a first plurality of color filters" and "wherein said second display screen comprises a second plurality of color filters" as recited in independent Claims 39, 46 and 52. Applicant thanks the Examiner for conducting the interview.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 39-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being allegedly anticipated by United States Patent Number 5,298,892 to Shapiro et al. (referred to herein as "Shapiro"). It was agreed during the Examiner Interview on February 18, 2010 that the cited references of record fail to teach or suggest the combination of elements including "wherein said first display screen comprises a first plurality of color filters" and "wherein said second display screen comprises a

second plurality of color filters” as recited in independent Claims 39, 46 and 52. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that independent Claims 39, 46 and 52 are not anticipated by Shapiro. Since Claims 40-45, 47-51 and 53-58 depend from and recite further elements of the invention claimed in their respective independent Claims, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 40-45, 47-51 and 53-58 are also not anticipated by Shapiro. Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 39-58 overcome the 35 U.S.C. §102(b) rejection of record, and therefore, are allowable.

General Remarks

The additional limitations recited in the independent claims or dependent claims are not further discussed as the above-discussed limitations are believed to be sufficient to distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art of record. However, Applicant respectfully reserves the right to respond to one or more of the Examiner's rejections in subsequent amendments should conditions arise warranting such responses.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 39-58 are in condition for allowance and Applicant earnestly solicits such action from the Examiner.

The Examiner is urged to contact Applicant's undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present application.

Please charge any additional fees or apply any credits to our PTO deposit account number: 50-4160.

Respectfully submitted,

MURABITO, HAO & BARNES LLP

Dated: 4 / 6 / 2010

/BMF/

Bryan M. Failing
Registration No. 57,974

Two North Market Street
Third Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
(408) 938-9060